

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOHN DOE #1, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:22-CV-00855-JGB-SPx

**ORDER ON MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO PROCEED USING  
PSEUDONYMS (Dkt. No. 45)**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The Court, having considered Plaintiffs John Doe #1, John Doe #2, John Doe #3, and John Doe #4’s motion for leave to proceed using pseudonyms, the Defendants’ non-opposition to that motion, and considering the public interest, the Court finds that Plaintiffs have demonstrated the required factors to proceed using the fictitious names “John Doe #1,” “John Doe #2,” “John Doe #3,” and “John Doe #4.” Plaintiffs shall provide Defendants with their true identity pursuant to a protective order, or under similar circumstances designed to protect their anonymity. If Plaintiffs seeks a protective order, the parties shall propose the scope of such an order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 20, 2022



---

HON. JESUS G. BERNAL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE